

## **Committee Update - HW/HSE/19/00023 – 74 Chippingfield**

The application includes a single storey rear extension and a 2m high fence to be erected along the shared boundary with no.76. However, the fencing was not explicitly referred to within the description of the application as this can be constructed without planning permission. This was therefore brought to the attention of local residents to ensure that they were fully aware of the fence being proposed.

Additional representations have been received from a local resident who has commented previously.

The comments state that the resident cannot attend the Committee due to the short notice given in advance of the meeting. The resident seeks for their initial comments to be registered and/or their previous comments read as they consider none of their concerns have been addressed. They also request the erection of a 2m high fence along their boundary to address privacy issues.

In addition they comment that the proposal in its current form will impact on their wellbeing, and that a permanent building should be constructed to be more in keeping with the area. The comment further states that an alternative property should be considered to suit the needs of the family.

### *Officer Comment:*

The resident was given the same amount of notice that the application is to be considered at Committee as is usually given.

A summary of all of the previous comments received from the resident, and the other resident who commented on the application, can be found within the 'Summary of Representation Received' section within officer's report and taken into consideration.

It should be noted that there is already fencing along the boundary of the resident making the additional comment. It is not therefore considered that additional fencing is necessary along the resident's boundary.

As set out in the officer's report, the extension has been assessed as a permanent addition to the dwelling. The Local Planning Authority is duty bound to consider the application before it and not theoretical alternatives elsewhere.